

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DA | TE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/552,760 | 04/18/200 | 0 Kirk B. Ashby | 049581-P024US-10006096 | 3104 | |
| 29053 | 7590 04 | /01/2003 | | | |
| DALLAS O | FFICE OF FU | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 2200 ROSS A | AVENUE | | | | |
| SUITE 2800 | | | 114111,1 | | |
| DALLAS, TX | DALLAS, TX 75201-2784 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2684 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u>_</u> | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | 09/552,760 | ASHBY ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Pablo N Tran | 2684 | | |
| D. d. d. C. | The MAILING DATE of this communication | n appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133) | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed or |) 1 . | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | | This action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the applic | cation. | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit | hdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) 34-37 is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-33 is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) 5-7 is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 - | The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | |
| 10) 🔲 🧻 | Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ | accepted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 - | The proposed drawing correction filed on _ | | disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required | | | | |
| 12) 🔲 - | Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by th | ne Examiner. | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | preign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | ments have been received. | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for | al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor | | | | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign languag acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | e provisional application has b | een received. | | |
| Attachment | | • | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N | 8) 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| .S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re | | ice Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 6 | | |

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 8-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* in view of *Marshall* (4,696,055) and further in view of *Tomasz* (6,400,416).

As per claims 1-4,15-16, and 27-30, *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* disclosed a method of providing a frequency translation circuit comprising an input signal (fig. 1/item IN) interface accepting a video bandwidth signal at a first frequency, an output signal (fig. 1/item OUT) interface passing said video bandwidth signal at a desire frequency, a first mixer (fig. 1/no. 121) circuit having a first input and a first output, wherein a signal provided to said first input is provided to said first output at an increased frequency; and a second mixer (fig. 3/no. 214) circuit having a second input and a second output, wherein said second mixer is coupled to said first mixer, and wherein a signal provided to said second input is provided to said second output at a decreased frequency (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, pg. 8/ln. 10-pg. 9/ln. 210).

Art Unit: 2684

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not specifically disclose that both the first and second mixers are single sideband mixers. However, such single sideband mixers are well known in the art, as disclosed by Marshall (fig. 2, 8, 12-13/no. 40, 46, 44, 50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a pair of single sideband mixers, as disclosed in Marshall, in place of the first and second mixers of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art to provide a lower inherent noise output and also eliminate image reject filters to save space & cost.

Furthermore, the modified system of *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* do not disclosed that both mixers are disposed on a common IC substrated. However, such mixers disposed on a common IC substrated are well known in the art, as disclosed by *Tomasz* (fig. 2-5/no. 216). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have both mixers disposed on a single IC substrated, as disclosed in *Marshall*, to the modified system of *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* to save space & cost.

As per claims 8-10 and 23, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* and *Tomasz* disclosed a signal amplitude manipulator disposed on a common IC substrated (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, fig. 1/no. 114, see *Tomasz*, fig. 3/no. 252, 256).

As per claims 11-13 and 24-25, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior*Art and Tomasz disclosed a filter, coupled to said first single sideband mixer, is

disposed on a common IC substrated (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, fig. 1/no. 141, see *Tomasz*, fig. 4/no. 210).

Art Unit: 2684

As per claim 14, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* and *Tomasz* disclosed a filter, coupled to said first single sideband mixer, is disposed external of the common IC substrated (see *Tomasz*, fig. 3/no. 210).

As per claims 17-19, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* and *Tomasz* disclosed the first sideband mixer comprises a phase shifter to provide an inphase and quadrature signals (see *Marshall*, fig. 2/no. 54).

As per claim 20, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* and *Tomasz* disclosed an amplifier, coupled to said input, is disposed on a common IC substrated (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, fig. 1/no. 111, see *Tomasz*, fig. 3/no. 224).

As per claims 21-22, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* and *Tomasz* disclosed an amplifier, coupled to between said first and second mixers, is disposed on a common IC substrated (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, fig. 1/no. 111, see *Tomasz*, fig. 3/no. 224).

As per claim 26, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* and *Tomasz* disclosed said first mixer comprises a fixed frequency carrier and said second mixer comprises a variable frequency carrier (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, fig. 1/no. 131,132).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2684

4. Claims 34-37 are allowed.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Birleson et al. (6,177,964), Tomasz et al. (6,031,878), Williams et al. (6,516,187), Galal et al. (6,195,539), Durec (6,144,845), Cojocaru et al. (6,339,621), Rotzoll (5,737,035), Guthrie (5,343,168), Atherly et al. (5,140,198), Vorenkamp et al. (6,285,865), Marz (5,390,346), Gorrie et al. (5,214,796), Higgens, Jr. (5,355,524), Seely et al. (5,410,743), Bezzam et al. (6,115,586), Standinger et al. (5,339,462, and Pesola (EP0651522) disclose image rejection frequency circuit in a radiotelephone communication system.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2684

٠٠٠ العام

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

March 28, 2003

PABLO N. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER

AU2685